THE EMPIRE OF ORISSA. By Prof. R. D. BANERJI, M.A.

I. Kapilêndra or Kapilêsvara (1435—70).

VERY little is known about the founder of the most powerful dynasty of Orissa, the Sûrya-vamsa dynasty, which ruled over the eastern coast of the Indian Peninsula for a little over a century. In the South Arcot District the founder of this dynasty was known as the Kumara-Mahapatra even in 1464-65. In two inscriptions only, one at Gopinathpur in the Cuttack District, he is stated to be descended from the race of the Sun¹. Kapilêśvara's relation, Ganadêva, Rautarava, the vicerov of Kondavidu in 1455, also mentions him as being descended from the Solar race.2 We are totally ignorant about the circumstances which brought him to the throne after the extinction of the Eastern Gangas. According to inscriptions, discovered up to date, Narasimha IV is the last known king of this dynasty and his latest known date is 1397 A.D.3 The late Manmohan Chakravarti notes that there is an inscription of this king in the Sri Kurmam temple, on the eleventh pillar of the mandapa, which is dated 1402-3 A.D.4 The accession of Kapilêndra or Kapilêsvara cannot be placed earlier than 1434-35. The date given in the records of the temple of Jagannatha at Puri is decidedly wrong.⁶ According to that record the accession of the king took place at camp Kîrttivâsa on Wednesday Kâkarâ 2, Su. 4. But Sewell mentions that Kapilêśvara's accession took place in 1454 6 and he follows Hunter, who places that event in 1452. All of these dates are incorrect, as Manmohan Chakravarti has already proved. The correctness of Manmohan Chakravarti's calculations is corroborated by the Burhân-i-Ma'asir. The local accounts of Orissa such as the Puri Record (called Mådalå Pånji in Oriya) places another king between Narasimha IV and Kapilêśvara. He is called Bhânudeva⁸. As Kapilêśvara's accession did not take place till 1435 there is plenty of room to place two or three scions of the Eastern Gangas after the last known date of Narasimha IV and before the beginning of the Sûrya-vamsa dynasty.

During the last days of the Ganga dynasty Orissa had lost her prestige and she was being hard pressed by the independent Sultans of Bengal from the north, the Bahmani Sultans from the west and the emperors of Vijayanagara from the south. Sewell's list supplies us with a clear instance of changes in the overlordship of Kondavidu, when it was in the possession either of the kings of Orissa or the emperors of Vijayanagara. One Långulîya Gajapati was succeeded by the Reddi king Râcha Venka (1420-31). Then came two sovereigns of Vijayanagara, who are named Pratapadeva (Devaraya II) and Harihara. They were succeeded by king Kapilêśvara of Orissa9. The date of the rise of Kapilêśvara coincides with that of the commencement of the decline of Vodeyar or Yâdava dynasty of Vijayanagara. He ascended the throne of Orissa during the lifetime of Devarâya II and continued to rule till the Saluva usurpation. It opened a glorious career for him and permitted him to conquer the whole of the Eastern coast of India, at least as far as Trichinopoly District of the Madras Presidency. No other king of Northern India and no sovereign of Orissa ever succeeded in ruling over such a large portion of Southern India. The conquest of the Tamil country by Kapilêśvara was no temporary occupation. The Eastern Tamil Districts and practically the whole of the Telugu country remained in his occupation for over ten years. This is proved by an inscription of the reign of the Vijayanagara emperor Virupaksha, according to which, on account of confusion caused by the invasion of the king of Orissa the festivals in the temple of Siva at Jambai in the South Arcot District ceased for ten years, sometime before 1472-73 A.D. The drama Gangadasa-Pratapavilasam also refers to an invasion

¹ JASB., vol. LXIX, 1900, pt. 1, pp. 173-79.

³ Above, vol. XX, 1891, pp. 390-93.

³ JASB., vol. LXIV, pp. 133.

⁴ Ibid., vol. LXIX, 1900, p. 182, note 1.

⁵ Ibid., p. 181 note.

Sewell, A Sketch of the Dynasties of Southern India, p. 48 and note 3.

⁷ Indian Antiquary, vol. XXVIII, 1899, p. 285. 8 JASB., vol. LXIX, 1900, p. 182.

Sketch of South Indian Dynasties, n 48.

of Vijayanagara by the king of Orissa. 10 Another inscription in the South Arcot District records that in 1464-65 the village of Munnur was actually in the occupation of Kumûra Mahû. ndtra Kapilêśvara, son of Ambîradeva. We have therefore to admit that from 1464 till the date of his death in 1470 Kapilêśvara was in possession of the whole of the Eastern Coast of the Indian Peninsula from the Balasore District of Orissa to the extreme south of the Trichinopoly District. We have no means so far of deducing the exact chronology of events in the process of these conquests, but we obtain some help from Musalman histories. The best of these are no doubt Firishta and the Burhan-i-Ma'asir. Kapilêndradeva was the contemporary of Sultan 'Alauddin Ahmad II, who ascended the throne on the 21st February 1435. One of the earliest events connected with the king of Orissa, in the Burhân-i-Ma'asir, is a statement of the condition of western part of the Telugu country. It is stated in this work that the leader of the Hindu chiefs of the country above the Ghâts was an Oriyâ. Kapilésvara is not mentioned by name, but the statement made about the number of elephants which this Orivâ chief possessed proves that the king of Orissa himself had come to occupy the most prominent position among the Hindu chiefs of the Telugu speaking country. It is stated that at that time a chief named Sanjar Khân was occupied in the delightful pastime of capturing innocent Hindu villagers of the plains of Telingana and transporting them as slaves into the interior of Deccan, 11 At this time Sultan 'Alauddin Ahmad Shah Bahmani is stated to have said that it was dangerous to meddle with a man who possessed more than two hundred thousand elephants, while the Bahmanî monarch did not possess more than one hundred and fifty. This is just the beginning of Kapilendra's interferences in affairs outside Orissa proper. The next mention of Telingana in the Burhan-i-Ma'asir is in connection with whe rebel chief Muhammad Khân, to whom the district of Râvâchal in that locality was assigned.¹² Gradually Kapilêndra came to be regarded as the suzerain of Telingana, and the occasion soon rose to put him to the test. Though Varangal had been occupied in 1423, the districts of Telingana both above and below the Ghâts still remained to be conquered. According to Firishta, Humâyûn Shâh Bahmanî determined to conquer Devârkondâ and sent Khwâjah-i-Jahân with a large army, and the fort was besieged. He sent an appeal for help to Kapilêndra, who marched so swiftly with his army that he caught the Muhammadan general unawares. The besieged also sallied out and attacked the Musalmans from the other side. Caught between two armies, Khwajah-i-Jahan was defeated and compelled to fly.13 The Muhammadans never attempted to rally, and Musalman historians had to find some other excuse for Humâyûn Shâh Bahmanî, as he never attempted to cross swords with Kapilêndra, so long as he was alive. 14 It is probable that on this occasion Kapilêndra wiped out the Reddi sovereigns of Kondavidu and other places.

A drama named Gangadasa-Pratapavilasam by Gangadhara mentions that Kapilendra had united with the Bahmanî king and invaded the territories of the Vodeyar or Yadava dynasty of Vijayanagara. In view of his hostile relations with the Sultans of Bîdar, it is not possible to believe that he had invaded Vijayanagara in alliance with any Musalman power. The subsequent reference to his wars with the Bahmanî Sultans prove definitely that he, at least, was at no time in amicable relation with any Musalman king. The Gangadasa-Prataptvilasam says that immediately after the death of Devaraya II of Vijayanagara in 1446, Kapilendra allied himself with 'Alauddîn Ahmad II Bahmanî and advanced as far as Vijayanagara, but had to retire after a defeat in the hands of Mallikarjuna. This story was

¹⁰ Report of the Asstt. Archl. Supdi., S.C., 1906-7, p. 84.

¹¹ Ind. Ant, vol. XXVIII, p. 237.

³ Ibid., p. 238.

¹³ This is known as the battle of Devârkondâ and its date is approximately 864 A.H. = 1459 A.D. according to Firishta.

La Briggs The Rise of the Muhammadan Power in India, vol. III, pp. 456-58; I. A., vol. XXVIII, p. 244.

15 S. K. Ayyangar, Sources of Vijayanagar History, Madras, 1919, pp. 65-66. The author omits to identify Pâvâchala with Pâvâgadh near Champaner in the Panch Mahals District of Bombay. It is the Pavangadh of Marâthâ chronicles.

evidently an invention of Vijayanagara Court chroniclers to hide the shame of their sovereign after his defeat at the hands of the Orissan Monarch, whom they referred to as "the Oddivan " contemptuously. This supposed joint expedition of 'Alauddin Ahmad II is not mentioned in Musalman histories also. Though the chroniclers of Vijayanagara say that in 1446 Mallikarjuna defeated Kapilandra, we find that correct statements are made in South Indian inscriptions about the state of the Tamil country which Kapilêndra had conquered. Kapilêndra's conquest of northern Tamil Districts is not a myth as supposed by Prof. Aiyangar of the Madras University. "The aggressiveness of Orissa is seen in the claim made in behalf of the Gajapatis of a successful advance by them as far as Kanchi, in a dramatic romance called the Kanji-Kaveri-Pothi."15 An inscription, No. 93 of 1906, states that on account of the confusion "caused by the Oddiyan (i.e., the king of Orissa)" the festivals in the temple of Siva at Jambai in the South Arcot District had ceased for ten years.¹⁷ This inscription is dated 1472-73. From another inscription, dated 1470-71, of the reign of the Saluva chief Narasimha(?) we find that great confusion was caused by the invasion of the king of Orissa about eight or ten years earlier, and the temple of Vishau at Tirukoilur could not therefore be repaired.¹⁸ Two inscriptions from Munnur in the South Arcot District prove that that part of the Tamil country was actually in the possession of Kapilendra in the Saka year 1386= 1464-65 A.D. Both of these records are incised on the walls of the Adavallesvara temple in the village of Munnur in the Taluka of Tindivanam. Both of them mention "Dakshina-Kapileśvara-Kumāra Mahāpātra son of Āmbîra." No. 51 of 1919 records "a gift of land for 'Ahamvîrabhoga' festival (?) and repairs to the temples of Tirumulattanamudaiyar-Mahâdeva and Perumal-Purushottama in the same village."19 The same record is repeated once more on the walls of the same temple (No. 92). In dealing with this inscription the late Rao Bahadur H. Krishna Shastri state "these are dated in the Saka year 1386 (A.D. 1464-65) and epigraphically confirm the statement about the southern invasion of the Orissa king noticed on page 84 of the Annual Report for 1907. . . . our inscriptions clearly prove that this southern conquest by the combined armies was an event that happened about six years later. It establishes also that the earlier conquest by Gajapati was not a passing inroad only, but almost an occupation of the southern country right up to Tiruvarur in the Tanjore District and Trichinopoly."²⁰ The obsession of South Indian writers about the joint invasion of Vijayanagara by the Bahmanî Sultan and Kapilêndra continues from the date of Gangâdhara up to our own times. It is therefore necessary to prove first of all that Kapilêndra of Orissa could not have been an ally of any of his contemporary Sultans of Bîdar. 'Alâuddîn Ahmad II Bahmanî died in 1457 and was succeeded by his son 'Alâuddîn Humâyûn, who ruled over the Bahmanî empire for four years only. Inscription No. 1 of 1905 clearly indicates that the occupation of the South Arcot and Tanjore Districts took place about ten years before 1471, i.e., in 1461, i.e., about or immediately after the death of 'Alâuddîn Humâyûn Shâh Bahmanî. Firishta and the Burhân-i-Ma'asir agree in stating that immediately after the death of 'Alâuddîn Humâyûn Shah Bahmanî and the accession of his infant son Sultan Nigâm Shah Bahmanî, Kapilêndra invaded the Bahmanî empire with a large army and almost reached the gates of Bîdar, the Bahmani capital. The details of the campaign are not given, and from the tone of Firishta it appears that the Bahmani army, unable to cope with the invaders in the field, retired within the walls of the capital. Most probably Kapilêśvara and his ally the Kâkatîya chief of Varangal, who is described by Firishta as the Rây of Telingana, were purchased off. It appears tha: after the crushing defeat of the Musalmans at the battle of Devarkonda the Bahmanîs never sallied out into the plains from the Deccan plateau, and after the death of Humâyûn Shah, Kapilêndra crushed the Bahmanî power and invaded the metropolitan district, paralysing

¹⁶ Ibid., p. 6.

¹⁷ Annual Report of the Asett. Arch. Superintendent, Southern Circle, for 1906-7, p. 84.

Ibid. 1918-19, p. 52.

²⁰ Ibid., p. 106.

the Musalman attacks and inroads till the date of his death. After the death of Devarava II of Vijavanagara and the consequent confusion in the southern Hindu empire, the control of the empire of Vijayanagara over the eastern coast of Bay of Bengal ceased and Kapilêndra. secure from attacks from the west, extended his dominions as far as Tanjore and Trichinopoly. The Munnur inscription gives the area of his southern dominion in the following words, 'Kapilêśvara Kumara Mahapatra', as the chief is called, was the son of Ambiradeva, and is stated by both records to have been previously the Pariksha (Viceroy) of Kondavidu. 21 But at the time of the inscription he was in the position of the Pariksha of Kondavidu, Kondapalle, Addanki, Vinukondâ, Pâdaividu,29 Valudılampaţţu-Uśâvâdi, Tiruvarur, Tiruchchilapalle (Trichinopoly) and Chandragiri."23 This list shows that the eastern Tamil country with the exception of Madura and Tinnevelly in the extreme south had been conquered by Kapilendra from the emperors of Vijayanagara, just as he had wrested Telingana above the Ghâts from the Sultans of Bîdar. There could have been no love lost between Kapilêndra of Orissa and the Bahmanî Sultâns, and consequently the dramatist Gangâdhara's statement in the Gangâ-dâsa Pratûpavilûsam cannot be regarded as accurate. There is further epigraphical corroboration about Kapilêndra's relations with the Sultan of Bîdar in the Krishna plates of Ganadeva of Kondavidu dated 1435 A D. Ganadeva claims to have defeated two Turushka princes, evidently of the Bahmanî dynasty, as there was no other Musalman monarchy in South India at that time except the Bahmani empire. It cannot be understood why at Munnur, a place included within the dominions of Kapilendra, he is called Kumára and Mahápútra ten years after the date of the Krishna copper-plates. Of course in his own country Kapilêśvara was acknowledged as the king in all inscriptions with proper titles. He is called Gaudeśvara. Gajapati, Karpûta-Kalabarakeśvara and Mahârâja. Most of these titles are given in the 6short votive inscriptions in the temples of Jagannath of Puri and the Lingaraj temple at Bhuvanesvar.²⁴ They are not given in detail in the Gopinathpur inscription.²⁵ In Ganadeva's copper plate grant he is called Kapilendra Gajapati in the metrical portion. There cannot be any doubt therefore of the fact that the titles Kumara and Mahapatra in the Munrur inscription are due to the ignorance of the scribe about the titles and real position of Kapilêśvara.

Ganadeva's Krishna inscription raises some interesting points, which were not decided when it was deciphered in 1891. Ganadeva was clearly the viceroy of Kondavidu, but he came of the same family as the emperor Kapilêśvara himself. His grandfather's name was Chandradeva and his father's name was Guhideva. Yet he is called Râutarâya. The Telugu scribe spells it Rautarâya as well as Râhuttarâya, but this is really the same as the Oriyâ term Râutarâya, which is applied to the younger sons of Oriyâ chiefs of the present day. I learn that in the Mayurbhanj State the king's eldest son is called Tikâit, the second son the Chhotarâya and the third son Râutarâya. Râuta cannot be Râhutta, but on the other hand is the same as the Râvat of Rajputana. The Krishna inscription shows that in the sixteenth century a man of a collateral branch of the royal family also could be called Râutarâya. Another interesting term is the adjective Ayapa applied to Ganadeva. Ayapa is the corruption of

²¹ Mr. H. Krishna Sastri thinks that he was Viceroy of the Kondavidu and Dandapâda. In reality Dandapêt means a Viceroyalty in Oliyê and is not the name of a place.

²² Mr. Kiishna Sastri uses the word Dandapåda a second time after Vinukondå, which shows that this place was the seat of the Oriyâ Viceroy of the extreme south.

²⁸ Ibid., p. 106.

²⁴ JASB., vols LXII, 1893, pp. 92-93. In 1926 I succeeded in saving these valuable inscriptions at Bhuvaneśvar, but the authorities of Puri Temple have destroyed these valuable records in their own temple by covering them with cement and plaster. My attempts to clean them tailed.

²⁵ Ibid., vol. LXIX, 1900, pp. 175-78.

²⁶ Twenty five questions addressed to the Rajahs and Chiefs of the Regulation and Tributary Mahals by the Superintendent in 1814, and the answers given thereto illustrating the established practice in regard to succession to the guidee, &c., Calcutta, Bengal Secretariat Book Depôt, reprinted 1905, p. 5.

Sanskrit Aryaputra "the Lord's son." Details about other achievements of Kapilêndra are to be found in the form of slight allusions in the Gopinathpur inscription. He is called the lion of the Karnata elephant, the victor of Kalavaraga (Gulbarga of the Bahmanas) the destroyer of Malava (the Khalji Sultans of Malwa), the defeater of Gauda (the independent Sultans of Bengal of the second dynasty of Ilyas Shah). We are at a loss to understand how he could come in touch with the Khaljis of Malwa, because the powerful Gond kingdoms of Chanda and Deogadh and the Haihaya Rajputs of Bilâspur intervened between him and Malwa. But most probably he allied himself with the Gonds of Chanda and Deogadh in an attack of the eastern frontier of Malwa. According to tradition, for which there is no corroboration, the independent Sultans of Bengal lost southern Bengal to Kapilêndra, and no attempt was made by the former to recover Midnapur and Howrah Districts from the Oriyas till the reign of 'Alauddîn Husain Shah. Henceforth all kings of Orissa and even the petty Gajapatis of Khurda or Puri assumed the high sounding title Nava-koți-Karnata Kalavarakesvara, "the lord of the nine lakhs of Karnata and Gulbarga," and Gaudesvara.

In the Gopinathpur inscription Gopinatha Mahapatra states that Kapiléśvara was in possession of Khandagiri and Kañchi. Evidently this inscription was incised after the completion of the conquest of the Tamil country by Kapiléśvara.

According to Oriyâ tradition, as recorded in the Mâdalâ Pânji, Kapilêndra breathed his last on the banks of the Krishnâ on Pausha Krishna 3, Tucsday, a date which the late Mr. Manmohan Chakravartı could not verify. His latest known date is still "41st anka, Dhanu, 5ukla, 7=Sunday, 14th December 1466" The traditional date of the death of Kapilêśvara given by Hunter and earlier writers is mistaken and incorrect. This is proved by the statement in the Burhân-i-Ma'asir²8 quoted above. This event, which took place in A.D. 1470., was hailed with great relief by the Musalmans of Southern India.

(To be continued.)

BOOK-NOTICES.

ANNUAL BIBLIOGRAPHY OF INDIAN ARCHEOLOGY FOR THE YEAR 1926. Published by the Kern Institute, Leydon. 12½"×9½"; pp. x + 107; with 12 plates and 3 illustrations in the text. Leyden, 1928.

This publication is intended, we are told in the Foreword, "to contain the titles, systematically arranged, of all books and articles dealing with Indian archæology in its widest sense, that is, the investigation of the antiquities not only of India proper, but also of Further India, Indonesia and Ceylon and in fact, of all territories influenced by Indian civilisation, as well as the study of the ancient history of those countries, the history of their art their epigraphy, iconography and numismatics." The volume before us consists of an Introduction surveying the literature dealing with the more important exploration and research work carried out during the year, followed by a classified bibliography, arranged according to geographical areas and subjects. The contents of each publication have been briefly but adequately noted, and in many cases extracts from review notices quoted, the editors themselves abstaining from criticism. In the case of historical works, the entries are mainly

restricted to writings relating to the pre-Muhammadan period of Indian history. The desired data, we are informed, were not received from Italy, Japan and Russia; and it is thought probable that the information supplied regaiding books and papers published in the Indian vernaculars is incomplete. Otherwise the selection of matter worthy of record seems to have been carefully and judiciously made. The experience gained as the compilation continues, and suggestions received from scholars using the work will indicate whether any modification or amplification can be introduced in future issues. The extensive survey of the literature relating to the more important work done during the year contained in the Introduction (pp. 1-28) is of special merit. Concise and clear, it describes the essential matters in each case, and bears the cachet of mscholar familiar with the history of the subjects discussed.

The importance to scholars and to all students of Indian Archeology and history of a scientifically prepared bibliography of this character cannot be exaggerated; and the present volume will be widely welcomed as the beginning of what should supply a long-felt need. It should find a place in the library of every one interested in the antiquities

^{- 27} Ibid., vol. LXIX, 1900, p. 183.

THE EMPIRE OF ORISSA.

By Prof. R. D. BANERJI, M A.

(Continued from vol. LVII, p. 239.)

II. Purushottama (1470-97.)

The death of Kapilendra in 1470 was followed by a war of succession. Firishta states that two of the sons of Kapilendra named Mangal Râi and Ambar Râi were rival claimants for the throne and Ambar Râi sought the alliance of the Bahmanî Sultân Muhammad III, who had succeeded his elder brother Nizâm Shâh on the 30th July 1463. At the time of his accession Muhammad was in his tenth year, and therefore at the time of the death of Kapilendra his age could not have been more than eighteen. The Burhân-i-ma'âsir assigns, perhaps more correctly than Firishta, another reason for the interference of Muhammad Shâh Bahmanî in the affairs of the Orissan empire. According to this authority, "In this year the Queen-Mother, Makhdûmah Jahân, died, and in A.H. 875 (A.D. 1470) the Sultân assumed the reins of government.

"In the midst of these affairs a messenger arrived from Telingana and informed the Sultan that the Raya of Orissa, who was the principal raya of Telingana was dead.

- "The Sultan was rejoiced to hear this news, and resolved upon the conquest of these dominions; accordingly he held a council of war with his nobles and ministers. Malik Nigam-ul-Mulk Bahrî, who was one of the favourites of Humayûn Shah, said:—"With Your Majesty's permission I will undertake this duty." The Sultan invested him with a special robe of honour, and despatched him with some of the other nobles in that direction."
- On many different occasions the Burhân-i-ma'âsir has proved itself to be far more reliable than Firishta where Bahmanî history is concerned. This is specially the case in Bahmanî genealogy. Firishta's version may therefore be regarded as unreliable. Muhammad III could not have taken much interest in the campaign as he was too young, but his nobles found this to be a fitting opportunity to revenge themselves for the numerous defeats they had suffered at the hand of Kapilendra, e.g., the battle of Devârkondâ, the invasion of the metropolitan district or Bîdar etc. It is quite possible that at this time the Musalmans also interfered in the succession to the throne of Orissa; but the principal cause of the Musalman invasion was the weakness of the empire of Orissa at this particular moment.

Before proceeding with the narrative of the campaign, we should pause to consider the condition of the Eastern districts of the Indian Peninsula at the time of Kapilendra's death, The Bahmanî Sultans had grown stronger for the time being, upon the attainment of majority of Muhammad III, but the Yadava or Vodeyar dynasty of Vijayanagara was fast approaching extinction. There are reasons to suppose that the emperor Virûpâksha was alive in 1478, at least eight years after the death of Kapilendra in 1470.2 The Śâluva chief Narasimha may have obtained the supreme power even in the life time of Virûpâksha II. but at the time of Kapilendra's death he was clearly still a subordinate. Narasa Nayaka seems to have been serving under Saluva Narasimha at this time. Like the Musalman generals and nobles of Muhammad III Bahmanî, the commanders of Virûpûksha II also considered the death of the strong ruler of Orissa and the dissensions among his sons to be a very fitting opportunity for the reconquest of the districts in the Tamil and the Telugu country wrested from the Vijayanagara empire by the founder of the Sûryavamsa dynasty. Prof. S. K. Aiyangar considers that "Sâluva Narasimha's first service to the empire was the beating back of this enemy right up to Rajahmundry where the Bahmanî Sultan, Muhammad, found him strongly entrenched in one of his campaigns."3 Now we are in a position to judge how it became possible for Saluva Narasimha to be present on the banks of the Godavari in 1474-75 when Muhammad III besieged the strong fort of Rajamahendri

¹ Indian Anti juary, vol. XXVIII, p. 285.

² Epi. Ind., vol. VIII, App. II, p. 15 . Quotuge Epi. Carn., vol. V, pt. I, p. 448, No. 153.

During the Civil war between the sons of Kapilendra the Bahmanis obtained a chance of regaining control over the hill districts of Telingana. When the Musalman advance into the coast-land of Telingana barred the way of Orissa into the Southern Telugu and Northern Tamil districts, then Saluva Narasimha found it a comparatively easy job to reoccupy these districts and to include them once more in the empire of Vijayanagara. The history of these two different wars of Orissa with two of the greatest monarchies of Southern India must be studied separately.

The real cause of the Bahmanî invasion of Orissa in 1470 has been already quoted from the Burhân-i-ma'âṣir. Niṇâm-ul-Mulk Ḥasan Bahrî, who had obtained the command of the expedition, defeated the Orissan army somewhere in the Northern Telugu country and advanced upon Râjamahendrî. Firishta says that in 1471=876 A.H. Ambar Râi a cousin of the king of Orissa complained to Muḥammad III Bahmanî that the throne of Orissa had been usurped by a Brahman (?) named Mangal Râi, the adopted son of the king i.e., Kapilendra. We know definitely from the calculations of the late Mr. Manmohan Chakravarti that the first year or the second anka of the reign of Purushottama coincided with 1469-70. This calculation is based on two inscriptions in the jagamohana of the temple of Jagannâtha at Puri. Therefore the king of Orissa in 1471=876 A.H. could not have been a Brâhmaṇa. The Mangal Râi, mentioned by Firishta, if he had real existence, must be another son of Kapilendra.

Firishta continues to state that Muhammad III Bahmanî was extremely desirous of obtaining some part of the dominions of Orissa on the Eastern coast, specially Râjamahendrî and Kondapalle. Firishta agrees with the Burhân-i-ma'âşir in making Nizâm-ul-Mulk Ḥasan Bahrî the Commander of the expedition against Orissa. He then states that Ambar Râi joined Ḥasan on the borders of Orissa. Ḥasan defeated Mangal Râi and placed Ambar Râi on the throne of Orissa. Ḥasan, then, proceeded to capture Râjamahendrî and Kondapalle. There is no mention either of the Brâhmana Mangal Râi or of Ambar Râi, the cousin of the late king of Orissa, in the Burhân-i-ma'âṣir. This book states directly that after the defeat of the Orissan army Nizam-ul-Mulk Ḥasan Bahri captured Râjamahendrî and marched to the south-west against Kondavidu. This is more natural as being the seat of an Orissan Viceroy. Kondapalle is not mentioned in this work. Nizâm-ul-Mulk Ḥasan besieged and captured Kondavidu and several other forts in the neighbourhood. This is the first stage in the wars of Muhammad III Bahmanî with Purushottama.

Before we proceed to consider the second stage we must take into account the expansion of Vijayanagara under Sâluva Narasimha. Even during the life time of Virupâksha II, Sâluva Narasimha had captured the South Arcot district. By 1474 he was in the possession of the entire eastern coast-land as far north as Râjamahendrî when he met the Bahmanî army manœuvring against Purushottama of Orissa. The details of Sâluva Narasimha's capture and conquest of the southern districts of the empire of Kapilendra are to be found in the Sâluva-bhyudayam of Râjanâtha Dindima. In the fourth canto it is stated that when Sâluva Narasimha marched against the king of Kalinga, the latter came out at the head of his army, but was defeated and retired to his city, where, later on, he was besieged and compelled to surrender. This statement is entirely unreliable, as we can prove from Musalman histories that there was no chance for Sâluva Narasimha to meet Purushottama on the field of battle at any time between 1469-70 and 1474-75, when we meet Purushottama and Sultân Muhammad III Bahmanî in the neighbourhood of Râjamahendrî. Both Firishta and the Burhân-i-ma'âzir make it sufficiently clear that Râjamahendrî and

⁴ Brigg's History of the Rise of the Muhammadan Power in India, Calcutta, 1909, vol. II, p. 487.

⁵ JASB., vol. I.XIX, 1900, pp. 482-3. 6 Brigg's Rise of the Muhammadan Power, vol. 11, pp. 497-88.

⁶a Sources of Vijayanayar History, p. 91. This MS. proves the comparative unreliability of Indian literary works, specially Sanskrit medieval works, in matters historical.

Therefore in A.D. 1480 Kondavidu was included in the empire of Vijayanagara and the Bahmani campaign of that year was directed against Telingana and not the Tamil or Kanarese districts. This being so, it is much more likely that Saluva Narasimha was present on the Godávarî near Râjamahendrî in the campaign of 1474-77. We are not in a position to ascertain whether the Saluva Chief was present on the banks of the Godavarî as an ally of Purushottama of Orissa or as a third party in the struggle. The omission of Śâļuva Narasimha's part in the war of 1474-77 in Firishta's work proves its comparative unreliability when compared to the Burhan-i-ma'dair. Saluva Narasimha had added the eastern coast-land of the Indian peninsula to the empire of Vijayanagara after the death of Kapilendra in 1470 and the subsequent Musalman conquest of the delta of the Godavarî. He had showed no resistance when Purushottama reoccupied the delta of Godávarî, but when Muhammad III started to recover it, it was clearly to his interest to be present near the seat of war, because his own territories were contiguous to that of the king of Orissa. The statement in the Burhân-i-ma'âgir about the Bahmanî campaign of 1480 proves clearly that the country to the south of the Krishna was included in the empire of Vijayanagara because the taluka of Narasaraopet, in which Kondavidu is now situated, lies immediately to the south of the lower course of the Krishna. The war of 1474-77 was, then, clearly for the possession of the Krishnâ-Godâvarî Doâb. Purushottama had evidently given up all hopes of the southern districts of his father's extensive empire, and therefore there could have been no cause of enmity between the king of Orissa and the general or emperor of Vijayanagara. Virûpâksha II was still living, yet the out-lying provinces of the Hindu empire were in the possession of Fâluva Narasimha. As the de facto king of the eastern coast Sâluva Narasimha had to be present at or near Rajamahendrî when Muhammad III launched his great campaign against the king of Orissa in 1474. The object of the Bahmanî Sultân was the reoccupation of the Godâvarî-Krishnâ Doâb, which he had conquered immediately after the death of Kapilendra. Was it the object of the Saluva Chief to help the Hindu king of Orissa, or was he there simply to defend his own dominions? In view of the statement of the Burhân-i-ma'âşir that Śâluva Narasimha retired without fighting it seems probable that when he found it unnecessary to engage the Musalmans for the defence of his own dominions he retired to a safe distance, leaving his common enemies to fight till exhaustion. Muhammad III's invasion of Saluva Narasimha's territories in 1480 may be construed in two different ways. In the first place it may be taken to be in revenge for the part played by Saluva Narasimha in the campaign of 1474-77, or in the second place it may simply be a continuation of that campaign for the conquest of the whole of the Doab from the Hindus. It appears that it was not to the interest of Saluva Narasimha to ally himself with any of the contending parties because both were his natural enemies. It is more probable that Purushottama had to fight his battles without any help from the only Hindu power in Southern India which might have helped him. The campaign of 1474-77 ended in the total loss of the Godâvarî-Krishnâ Doâb to the kingdoms of Orissa and Vijayanagara apparently owing to the impossibility of a coalition among the Hindu powers. But we must not put our faith in any of the grandiloquent tales narrated by Firishta about the sack of Cuttack and the submission of Purushottama. The campaign against Orissa was suddenly brought to an end on account of the necessity of a vigorous campaign against Saluva Narasimha. and Malik Nigam-ul-Mulk Hasan Bahrî was left in charge of the conquered provinces. The Bahmanî campaign of 1480 against Kondavıdu was followed by another against Malûr¹¹. Muḥammad III Bahmanî may or may not have resided for three years at Rajamahendrî as stated by Firishta¹², but this is certain, that no further campaign against Purushottama was attempted by the Bahmanis.

¹¹ Ind. Ant., vol. XXVIII, p. 289.

¹² Brigg's Rise of the Muhammadan Power, vol. II, p. 497.

The scene on the political stage now changes swiftly. The murder of the great Musalman general and statesman Khwājah-i-Jahan Maḥmūd Gāwān Gilānî, on the 5th April 1481,18 was followed by a paralysis of aggressive Musalman policy, and the death of Muhammad III Bahmanî, on the 26th March 148214, was followed by the sudden dismemberment of the Bahmanî Empire. The long reign of the weak and imbecile Mahmûd was a record of total disunion and incapacity of the Musalman leaders. The attitude of Purushottama can be explained partly from Firishta's narrative of the conspiracy of the Deccani party at the Bahmanî Court against Maḥmûd Gâwân. Nigâm-ul-Mulk Hasan Baḥrî forged a letter purporting to be a communication from Mahmûd Gâwân that he was tired of Muhammad III Bahmanî and ready to join the Râya of Orissa¹⁵, i.e., Purushottama. The latter, if Firishta's account is true, was then still an object of fear to the Bahmanî Sultan. We do not know what happened to the Godavari-Krishna Doab immediately before and after the murder of Mahmud Gawan. According to Firishta the Hindu renegade Nizam-ul-Mulk Hasan Bahrî was permitted by Muhammad III Bahmanî to govern the Doâb by a Deputy. his own son, Malik Ahmad16. The story related by Firishta may or may not be true, but it is evident on the face of it that it was necessary for the old traitor Hasan to be at Court in order to mature the plan for the murder of his patron Mahmûd Gâwân. As soon as Mahmûd Gawan is murdered and Muhammad III Bahmani is dead the actors on the stage, who have occupied it so long, vanish never to re-appear. After the murder of Malik Nigam-ul-Mulk Hasan Bahrî, his son Malik Nigâm-ul-Mulk Ahmad retires to the south-western part of the Bahmanî Empire, where he is busy carving out an independent kingdom for himself.

Immediately after his accession Mahmûd had to undertake a campaign in Telingânâ before the murder of Mâlik Nizâm-ul-Mulk Hasan Baḥrî¹². The result of this campaign is not known, but the very silence of the Burhân-i-ma'âsir proves that it was unfavourable. Sulṣân Maḥmûd Bahmanî could not proceed eastwards from Varangal. This possibly indicates a re-occupation of Telingânâ by Purushottama. Within six years of the death of Sulṣân Muḥammad III Bahmanî, Godâvarî-Kṛishnâ Doâb had been re-occupied by the troops of Orissa, and Purushottama was in possession of Konḍavidu, so much coveted by the Musalmans, and the Guntu: district. This is proved definitely by two inscriptions, one discovered at Konḍavidu dated 1488-89, = the Jovian year Kiṭaka¹³, and the second at some unknown place in Telingânâ, published in 1827 in the Transactions of the Literary Society of Madras, dated Śaka 1412, the year Saumya, Karttika Śukla 15 Sa (nau),=Saturday, November 7th, 1489¹³. There can not be any doubt about the fact that Konḍavidu and Ongole²o had passed out of the possession of Śâluva Narasimha when he was the recognised Emperor of Vijayanagara.

(To be continued.)

••

¹³ Ind. Ant., vol. XXVIII, p. 291.

¹⁴ Ibid , p. 292.

¹⁵ Rise of the Muhammadan Power, vol. II, p. 506.

¹⁶ Ibid., p. 498.

¹⁷ Ind. Ant., vol. XXVIII, p. 306.

¹⁸ Sewell, Sketch of South Indian Dynasties, p. 48; Chakravarti, JASB., vol. LXIX, 1800, p. 183; the Saka year 1411.

¹⁹ Epi. Ind., vol. XIII, pp. 155-58.

^{*}teliale

²⁰ The places mentioned in the grant of Saka 1412 are situated in the Ongole Taluka of the Guntur district.

THE EMPIRE OF ORISSA. By Prof. R. D. BANERJI, M.A. (Continued from p. 33.)

The last king of the Vodeyar or Yadava dynasty had ceased to occupy the throne sometime after 1478, and from 1486 to 1493 Narasimha was the recognised sovereign of Vijayanagara. Yet it was exactly during this period that the northern districts of Telingana were wrested by Purushottama from the Empire of Vijayanagara. What followed exactly is extremely difficult to ascertain even now. Saluva Narasimha is regarded by contemporary European writers as being supreme in Southern India. Yet we find that Telingana was slipping out of his grasp during the earlier part of his actual reign. There are reasons to suppose that towards the end of his reign Purushottama attacked Vijayanagara and brought the idol Såkshîgopâla and a jewelled throne from that place. As noticed by the late Mr. Manmohan Chakravarti in his edition of the Bengali poem Śri-Chaitanya-Charitamrita by the Vaishnava saint Krishna-Dâsa Kavirâja, Purushottama conquered Vijayanagara and brought a jewelled throne and the idol of Sâkshîgopâla from Vidvânagara. The throne was presented to Jagannatha at Puri and idol was dedicated at Katak²¹. Those who have examined the celebrated ratna-vedi, or the stone altar on which the wooden images of Jagannâtha, Subhadrâ and Balarâma are placed in the temple at Puri, must have noticed a surprising resemblance of the decorative motifs to those inside the Hâzâra-Râmaswâmî temple at Hampe or Vijayanagara. The upper front ends of the ratna-vedî at Puri are incomplete and several stone members appear to be missing. I think that the ratna-ved? is the actual jewelled throne brought by Purushottama from Vijayanagara. There are no reasons to disbelieve Gosvâmî Krishnadâsa Kavirâja, as he was a contemporary of Purushottama and his son Prataparudra and was no court-sycophant. He had no reason to be grateful to the kings of Orissa and wrote his work after his retirement to Brindavana. Besides this statement there are many other reasons for believing that the whole of the eastern coast was conquered by the kings of Orissa during the reigns of Sâluva Narasimha and his sons. Inscriptions of his son and successor, Prataparudra, have been discovered as far south as Udayagiri and Kâñchî or Conjeeveram. It is difficult to determine the exact chronology of the events connected with the reconquest of the eastern coast under Purushottama. He lost it during the first six years of his reign and he himself regained it during the last ten. Oriyâ or Bengali writers do not mention a campaign against Vijayanagara during the reign of his son, but do so in his case. It is quite possible that the reconquest of the Northern Tamil districts took place after the death of Saluva Narasimha in 1493 and during the reign of Immadi Narasimha (1493-98). According to the calculations of the late Mr. Manmohan Chakravarti, Purushottama died in 1496-97, a date which cannot be very far removed from the truth. The same writer, observing in 1900, stated that "the few details given in the Madala Páñji are mainly taken up in describing an expedition of this king into Kanchi. If there he any truth in it, then it is likely connected with the raid of the Bahmani king Muhammad Shah II, who in A.D. 1477-8 made a dash towards Conjeeveram, and returned with an immense booty²²". In the first place the late Mr. Chakravarti committed the usual mistake of all earlier writers of following the Bahmanî genealogy of Frishta, though Major J. S. King's new genealogy was in print when he wrote. The Bahmanî genealogy based on the Burhân-i-ma'âsir has been accepted, and that of Firishta23 definitely rejected by subsequent writers24. Muhammad Shâh II Bahmanî should be taken to be Muhammad Shâh III Bahmanî. The same mistake has been committed recently by Dr. L. D. Barnett in his paper on "The Potavaram Grant of Purushottama-deva"25. It has been proved above that it was

²¹ Madhya Lild, Chap. 5, Bangavâsî edition, p. 98. 22 JASB., vol. LXIX, p. 184.

²³ Ind. Ant., vol. XXVIII, p. 121.

²⁴ Catalogue of the Coins in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, vol. II, part II, p. 198, by H. N. Wright, I.C.S.

²⁵ Epi. Ind., vol. XIII, p. 156.

not possible for Purushottama to conduct a campaign in any part of South India lying to the south of the Krishna before 1488, because up to 1487, at least, the Godavari-Krishna Doab was in the possession of the Bahman's and it was impossible for any army from Orissa to cross into the Tamil country. Therefore the campaign of Purushottama against Kanchi must have taken place some time subsequent to the recapture of Kondavídu. It appears to be much more probable that Purushottama reconquered the lost provinces of his father's empire in the Telugu and Tamil districts after the death of Sâluva Narasimha in 1493. It further appears probable that the Tuluva chief Narasana met Purushottama during the campaigns of 1489–97.

Purushottama's career was a chequered one. Early in his reign he lost the southern provinces of his father's empire, and he had to encounter two invasions of the Bahmanî Sultâns in 1471 and 1475. Later on, after the death of Mahmûd Gâwân and Muhammad III Bahmanî, he succeeded in re-occupying the stronghold of Kondavîdu and the northern part of the modern district of Guntur. Subsequently, during the last years of his reign, he extended the Empire of Orissa once more as far south as Conjeeveram. The chronology of his reign is so little known to scholars that even in 1919 the late Tarini Charn Rath, writing about this king, had to state "It is rather difficult at present to fix with precision the date of this Kāāchi-Kāverî expedition of king Purushottama Deva and find out the name of his contemporary king of Karnâta, with whom he waged war and whose daughter Padmavathi he married." 18

If the Mêdalâ Pâñji is to be believed then Purushottama erected the Bhogamandapa in front of the temple of Jagannâtha. Following this custom three separate mandapas have been erected in front of all important temples in Orissa. The temple of Jagannâtha at Puri consists of four separate buildings:—(a) The Vimâna or the Sanctum, (b) the Jagamohana or the principal mandapa, (c) the Nâtamandira or the dancing hall, and (d) the Bhogamandapa or the refectory. The same plan is to be observed in the temples of Lingarâja at Bhuvaneśvara and Pârvatî in the same enclosure. I had ample opportunity of studying the method of construction of the Lingarâja and the Pârvatî temples when they were being repaired according to my instructions in 1925-26, and I found that both the Nâtamandira and the Bhogamandapa in these two temples were later additions. At Puri, the temple of Jagannâtha was built at three different periods; the Vimâna and the Jagamohana were erected by king Anantavarman Chodaganga in the eleventh century²⁷, the Nâtamandira by Anangabhîma II of the same dynasty in the twelfth century²⁸ and the Bhogamandapa with the kitchen in the seventh (1473-74) and ninth ankas (1475-76) of Purushottama²⁹.

In another line Purushottama introduced an innovation. Up to the time of Narasimha IV land-grants in Orissa where issued after being written on plates of copper. We can trace this system from the time of the Emperor Kumāragupta I up to that of Rāmachandra Gajapati of Khurda. The usual form of these copper plates is rectangular. Purushottama issued a grant on a piece of copper roughly shaped like an axe of the same shape as the Pachambā copper celts. The other innovation introduced by Purushottama into his land-grants was the definite rejection of the proto-Bengali script in favour of modern Oriyā. 32

²⁶ Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, vol. V, 1919, p. 149.

²⁷ JASB., vol. LXVII. pt. I. 1898, pp. 330-31; vol. LXXII, 1903, p. 110.

²⁵ Ibid., vol. LXXII, pt. I, 1903, p. 120. According to Mr. Chakravartti the temple was begun by Anantavarman but finished by Anangabhima.

²⁹ Ibid., vol. LXIX, 1900, pt. I, p. 184.

³⁰ Journ. of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, vol. II, 1916, pp. 437-440.

³¹ Anderson, Catalogue and Handbook of the Archaelogical Collections in the Indian Museum, Calcutta, pt. II, pp. 392-95.

³² Ante, vol. I, pp. 355-6; Journ. of the Bihar and Orises Research Society, vol. IV, pp. 361-63,

This grant was issued in the seventeenth instead of the fifth an ka-7th April 148333. Almost all subsequent genuine land-grants of Orissa from the time of Purushottama till the eighteenth century were inscribed in Oriya characters. Purushottama is said to have been the youngest son of Kapilendra according to Orivâ tradition, as recorded by the late. Mr. Tarini Charan Rath, and to have married Padmåvatî or Rupâmbikâ, the daughter of the king of Karnâță (? Sâluva Narasimha) according to the Introduction of the Sarasvati-vilâsam by his son and successor Prataparudra.34

III. Prataparudra (1497-1539).

The decline of Orissa begins from the date of the accession of Prataparudra, the son and successor of Purushottama. It can be gathered from the inscriptions of Purushottama that he had left the empire of Orissa almost as extensive as that inherited by him from his own father Kapilendra. Prataparudra ruled over an empire which extended from Midnapur in the North-East to Conjeeveram or Kâñchî in the South-West and we know from the inscriptions of his contemporary, Krishnadevaraya the great, of Vijayanagara, that a large portion of the highlands of Telingana, such as Khammamet, also belonged to him. He came to the throne in 1496-97, a date which is calculated from his only $a\dot{n}ka$ date in the inscription in the temple of Jagannatha at Puri; 4th anka, Kakara, śu 10, Wednesday = 17th July 1499 A.D. 36 The 3rd regnal year, therefore, is 1499, making his accession fall in 1496-97. The period was very favourable for the extension of the power of Orissa towards the south and the west. The imbecile Mahmûd was on the throne of Bîdar and the great Musalman kingdoms of the south were already formed; therefore there was no immediate chance of a Musalman irruption into the Godâvarî-Krishnâ Doâb. After the death of Immâdi Narasimha the Sâluva dynasty was fast approaching extinction, and Narasa Nâyaka, the founder of the Tuluva dynasty, was consolidating his power. From 1497 till 1511 Pratâparudra could have annexed the whole of the eastern coast without meeting serious opposition from the king of Vijayanagara or Narasa Navaka, but the history of Orissa was fast approaching that period of political stagnation, the climax of which was reached between 1510 and 1533, when the great Vaishnava reformer Śrî-Chaitanya of Bengal came into close contact with this province.

Most probably Narasa Nâyaka died in 1502 and was succeeded by his son Vîra-Narasimha. 36 Immādi-Narasimha was still living and was in a position to make a grant of land in the Penukonda rdjya in 1505.37 Nunez says that during the six years of his rule Bhujabalaraya was always at war. As soon as his father died the entire country revolted under the Nayakas. 38 He was at war with the Musalman Governor of Goa in 1506 according to the Italian traveller Varthema.³⁹ The late Mr. Hoskote Krishna Såstrî committed a mistake when he stated that the Gajapati kings occupied Udayagiri and Kondavîdu during this interval and that they were situated in Karnata. Though he quotes the authority of an inscription in which it is stated that Udayagiri was in the centre of Karnâṭa-Kâṭaka, 40 it is preposterous to suppose that Udayagiri could at any time have been included in Karņāta. It was certainly included in the Karņāta Empire when the Nellore and Guntur districts formed part of Vijayanagara territories. Krishnadevaraya succeeded his brother in spite of counter-intrigues in December 1509 or January 1510. One of the principal aims of his life was the reconquest of the Krishna-Tungabhadra or the Raichuru Doab. Two inscriptions from Nagalapuram in the Chingleput district prove that these aims of the new king were known to the people, and a man actually preferred a request to the king to endow a temple after his victorious return from the expedition against the Gajapati.

⁸⁸ JASB., vol. LXIX, 1900, p. 183.

³⁴ Journ. of the Rihar and Orissa Research Society, vol. V, 1919, pp. 147-48.

⁸⁵ Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. LXIX, 1900, Part I, p. 184.

⁸⁶ Ann. Rep. Arch. Surv., 1908-9, p. 171.

³⁸ R. Sewell, A Forgotten Empire, p. 314.

⁸⁷ Ibid., p. 172.

⁴⁰ Ann. Rep. Arch. Surv., 1908-9, p. 173, note 6.

¹⁰ Ibid., p. 118;

We do not know for what reasons the campaign against the Gajapati, i.e., Pratâparudra of Orissa, was preferred to that against Isma'îl 'Âdil I of Bijâpur. According to a Telugu prose work named Râyavâchakamu, Krishnadevarâya marched against Bijâpur immediately after the capture of Sivaṇasamudram. He but the arrangement of the events of Krishṇadevarâya's regime in this work appear to be fanciful because campaigns cannot have been conducted in the way or in the order in which they are narrated in it. In this paper we are not concerned with the campaigns against Bijâpur and Bîdar but only with those which were directed against the Empire of Orissa. The first recorded date in the series of campaigns conducted by Krishṇadevarâya against Pratâparudra of Orissa is that of the capture of Udayagiri. Krishṇadevarâya brought an image of Bâlakrishna from Udayagiri, which was dedicated by him in 1514. Udayagiri therefore must have fallen sometime earlier, though an inscription in the Hazâra-Râmasvâmî temple at Vijayanagara indicates that Udayagiri was holding out in 1513. Krishṇadevarâya devastated the eastern coast and drove back the Orissan army as far as Kondavidu before the capture of Udayagiri.

The contemporary Portuguese writer Nuncz gives a detailed account of Krishnadevarâya's campaigns against Pratâparudra of Orissa. According to him Narasa Nâyaka "in his testament had enjoined on his succeeders the necessity of taking the fortresses of Rracholl (Raichur), Medegulla (Mudkal), and Odigair (Udayagiri). He,43 therefore, collected 34,000 foot-soldiers and 800 elephants, and arrived with his army at Digary (Udayagiri), which, although its garrison numbered only 10,000 toot soldiers and 400 horse, was nevertheless a very strong place on account of its natural position. The king laid siege to it for a year and a half, cutting roads through the surrounding hills in order to gain access to the towers of the fortress, and finally took it by force of arms. On this occasion an aunt of • the king of Orissa fell into his hands. 44" There is some difference of opinion among the modern writers about the subsequent course of events. Some writers think that Krishnadevarâya returned to Vijayanagara after the capture of Udayagiri, while others maintain that he pushed on to Kondavîdu. According to the inscriptions, one Tirumala Rautarâya or Tirumalai Râhuttarâya was captured at Udayagiri. Kanarese and Telugu inscriptions on Udayagiri hill mention the capture at Udayagırı of an uncle of Pratâparuda named Tirmula Râghayarâya or Tirumala Kantharâya. Tinis Raghavarâya and Kântharâya appears to be the same as the Rautarâya and Râhutyarâya of otner inscriptions than those found on Udayagiri hill, because the term Rautatraya, used in the case of younger sons of kings of Orissa, was not known to the late Mr. Krishna Śastri even in 1908.

The second expedition against Prataparudra was undertaken shortly after the fall of Udayagiri, against the strong fort of Kondavidu. Evidently Prataparudra had made Kondavidu the base of his operations, as Krishnadevaraya land siege to it. According to Nanez, "the king of Orissa approached with a large army in defence of his country. When Krishnaraya had heard of this, he left a portion of his troops at Kondavidu as a guard against any attack from behind, and advanced himself four miles (legoas). On the banks of a 'great river with salt water,' which apparently is the Krishna, a battle took place which ended in the defeat and flight of the king of Orissa. After this victory the king told his 'regedor' Salvatinea (Salva-Timma) that he intended to continue the siege of Kondavidu. After two months the fortress surrendered, and Salva-Timma was appointed Governor of Kondavidu. But as he wished to accompany the king on his further expedition against the king of Orissa, he conferred, on his part, the governorship on one of his brothers. After taking the fortress of Condepallyr (Kondapalle) and occupying the country as far north as Symamdary, Krishnaraya made a peace with the king of Orissa and married one of his daughters." 46 Kondavídu

⁴¹ Sources of Vijayanagara History, p. 111.

⁴² Ann. Rep. Arch. Surv., 1908-9, p. 176.

⁴³ Krishnadevaraya.

⁶⁶ Epi. Ind., vol. VII, p. 19: Sewell, A Forgotten Empire, p. 131, for the date of the fall of Udayagiri, of. Epi. Ind., vol. VI, p. 110, note 5.

⁴⁸ Epi. Ind., vol. VI, p. 110.

was captured on Saturday the 23rd June 1515, and the date is given many times in the Mangalagiri inscriptions. 46 One of the most important events connected with this campaign was the capture of Prataparudra's son, Vîrabhadra, by Krishnadevaraya. According to the Kondavîdu chronicle this Vîrabhadra was the viceroy of Kondavîdu province for a long time. The Kondavîdu chronicle has been interpreted too narrowly by Sewell and other writers. It says that Prataparudra ruled for one year, which means that he was in charge of Kondavîdu dandapâta for one year only and was succeeded in the Vicerovalty, on the death of Purushottama and his own succession to the throne of Orissa. by his son Vîrabhadra, who remained there till his defeat by Krishnadevarâya in 1515.47 Many other notables of Orissa were captured at Kondavîdu along with Vîrabhadra. One of these was Keśava Pâtra and another Kumârahammîra Mahâpâtra. Certain Vıjayanagara inscriptions mention two Musalman generals, named Mallû Khân and Uddanda Khân of Rachuru (Raichur), among the notables captured at Kondavîdu. Mallû Khân can be recognized, but it is difficult to reduce the term Uddanda to its original Persian or Arabic form. The late Mr. H. Krishna Śastri argues from the connection of Raichur with these two names that they were officers or nobles or the 'Adilshâhî Sultâns of Bijanur. It is quite possible that Isma'îl 'Adıl-Shah, being the nearest neighbour of Krishnadevarâya, was much more interested in his movements than Sultân Qulî Qutb Shâh of Golkonda or the Bahmanî roi fainéants of Bîdar. The acquisition of help from a Musalman neighbour to fight with a Hindu adversary involved a moral and political degradation in the Hindu world, which can be easily understood by those who are familiar with mediæval Rajpút History. This was not the only occasion on which Prataparudra employed Musalman mercenaries against Krishnadevarâya.

After the fall of Kondavîdu, Krishnadevarâya consolidated his conquests by the reduction of important inland fortresses in the country at the foot of the ghâts; such as Vinukonda on ' the Gundlakamma river and Ballamkonda near Amarâvatî. In the third campaign the conqueror crossed the Krishna and camped at Bezwada. His objective this time was the great hill fort of Kondapalle, where, according to Nunez, "were collected all the chiefs of the kingdom of Orya." The fall of Kondapalle practically marked the end of the campaign. It was in charge of the Oriya Minister Praharâja Śiraśchandra Mahâpâtra. The late Mr. H. Krishna Sastrî is certainly right in correcting the wrong forms of this title in other Telugu and Tamil inscriptions of the time of Krishnadevarâya. Among the Oriya notables captured after the fall of Kondapalle was a queen of Prataparudra, another of his sons and seven principal nobles. An inscription from Kalahasti mentions two of the last named. Bodaijenna Mahapatra and Bijlî Khan. The first term is composed of two Oriya titles. Bada-jenâ and Mahâpâtra. Similarly Praharâja-Siraśchandra-Mahâpâtra is a single title in Orivâ, composed of three small titles, and not a proper name. The name Billî Khân proves that Prataparudra was continuing to employ Musalman mercenaries against Krishnadevarava. after the fall of Kondavîdu.

From Kondapalle Krishnadevarâya continued his victorious march northwards and acquired all the districts of the sea-board, including the hill-districts of Nalgonda and Khammamet, at present in the Nizâm's dominions. He arrived at Simhâchalam, on the outskirts of Vizagapatam and is said to have planted or erected a pillar of victory on that hill. The late Mr. H. Krishna Sâstrî stated that "there exist even today records in Telugu characters written on the basement of the entrance into the Asthâna-Mandapa and on a pillar on the Verandah round the Lakshmînarasımhasvâmin temple at Simhâchalam, which relate in unmistakeable terms the victories of Krishnarâya, his stay at Simhâchalam, which relate temple." According to the Pârijâtâpaharanamu and other Telugu works Krishnadevarâya devastated Orissa proper and burnt its capital Kaṭaka. But there is no epigraphical corroboration of these statements. Krishnadevarâya was at Simhâchalam in 1516 and three years

⁴⁶ Ibid., p. 111. 47 A Sketch of the Dynasties of Southern India, Madras, 1883, p. 48.

⁴⁸ Ann. Rep. Arch. Surv., 1908-9, p. 179.

later "he made over to the temple at Simhâchalam certain villages which were granted to him by the Gajapati king. Whether these latter were the voluntary gifts of the Gajapati ruler on behalf of his ally Krishnarâya or were wrung from him by a regular raid on his capital, are points which cannot be decided at present." 49

We see, therefore, that Kṛishṇadevarâya conducted three or four campaigns against Orissa and in 1519 compelled Pratâparudra to cede that part of his empire which lay to the south of the Kṛishṇâ. According to Nunez, Pratâparudra gave one of his daughters in marriage to Kṛishṇadevarâya.⁵⁰ This marriage is also mentioned in the Râyavâchakamu, where the Orissa princess is called Jaganmohinî.⁵¹ The marriage is also referred to in the Kṛishṇa-râya-vijayam and Tamil Nâvalar Charitai.⁵² The Orissan princess is also called Tukkâ. "She is said to have been neglected by her husband and to have led a life of seclusion at Kambam in the Kuddapah district." The prince Vîrabhadra was maintained in a suitable style after his capture, and honourably provided for. He became the Governor of the district of Mâlega-Beṇnur-sime and remitted the taxes on marriages in 1516, for the merit of his father Pratâparudra and his sovereign Kṛishṇadevarâya.⁵⁴

Such was the ignomimous end of the empire founded by Kapilendra in the previous century. It lasted for a little less than a century and was reduced to its former dimensions before 1520. The decline of Orissa was rapid. Within forty years Jājpur was in the occupation of Ghjyāṣu'd-dîn Jalâl Shâh of Bengal and the Hindu kingdom of Northern Orissa came to a sudden end within three years of the great battle of Talikota.

On the north Prataparudra was attacked by Sultan 'Alau'd-dîn Husain Shah, the founder of the Saiyad dynasty of Bengal. The exact date of this invasion is not known to us from reliable sources. According to the Riyâzu's-salûţîn Husain Shâh conquered all kingdoms between Gaur and Orissa. 55 If the Mâdalâ Pânji is to be believed then Orissa was invaded in 1509 under Isma'îl (il.âzî. The Mddalâ Pânji bears on the face of it the stamp of being a later concoction because even a careful scholar like the late Mr. Manmohan Chakravarti makes use of the term "Bengal Nawab" in connection with the independent Sultans of Bengal. "In A.D. 1509 Ismail Châzî (named Surasthâna in M. Pâñji), a general of the Bengal Nawab made a dash into Orissa, ravaged the country, sacked Puri Town and destroyed a number of Hindu temples. Pratâparudra hurried from the south and the Mahomedan general retreated. He was closely pursued and defeated on the bank of the Ganges $(M.P\hat{a}\tilde{n}i)$. The general took refuge in Fort Måndåran (sub-division Jahanabad, district Hooghly), and was besieged. But one of the Raja's high officers, Govinda Vidyadhara, went over to the enemy's side; and so the Raja had to raise the siege and to retire to Orissa." in the first place the title Nawab was not used in India till the middle of the first half of the eighteenth century. In fact there was no Nawab or Musalman governor of any other king in Bengal at that time. From 1339 to 1538 Bengal was ruled by independent monarchs.⁶⁷ In the second place though a Musalman general named Shah Isma'îl Ghazî is claimed to be a contemporary of Sultan Ruknu'd-dîn Barbak Shah of Bengal by an anonymous work sometimes called Risâlatu'sh-shuhâdâ, 58 he is really a contemporary of 'Alâu'd-dîn Husain Shâh, because his tomb at Kântâ Duâr in the southern part of the Rangpur district of Bengal bears an inscription of the time of Husain Shâh. The inscription was originally incised on two slabs of black flint, one of which has been missing for a long time. I saw an impression of the first half in the house of Rai Bahadur Mrityunjay Raichaudhuri, Zamindar of Kundi pargana at Sadyapushkarini. The devastation of Orissa by Isma'îl Ghâzî during

```
49 Ibid., p. 180.
```

52 Ibid., pp. 132, 155.

⁵⁰ Sewell, A Forgotten Empire, p. 320.

⁵¹ Sources of Vijayanagara History, p. 116.

⁵⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 143.

⁵⁴ Epi. Carn., vol. IX, Dg. 107.

⁵³ Riyazu-e-Sala in, English translation (Bib. Ind.), p. 132.

⁵⁶ Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. LXIX, p. 186.

⁶⁷ Bångålår Itihås, vol. II, pp. 99-285.

⁵⁸ Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1874, vol. XLIII, pp. 215-20.

the reign of 'Alau'd-dîn Husain Shah is also mentioned in the celebrated Bengali biography of the Vaishnava saint Śri-Chaitanya, the Chaitanya-Bhagavata; Antya Khanda, 2nd Adhyaya. Chaitanya's influence over Prataparudra appears to have been immense. Even if we accept one-tenth of what the biographies of Srî-Chaitanya say about the saint's influence over Prataparudra and his principal officers, then we have to admit that Sri-Chaitanya was one of the principal causes of the political decline of Orissa at this time and the loss of its independence 28 years after the death of Prataparudra. Considered as a religion, Indian Bhaktimarga is sublime, but its effect on the political status of the country or the nation which accepts it, is terrific. The religion of equality and love brings in its train a false faith in men and thereby destroys the structure of society and government because in reality no two men are equal in any respect and government depends upon brute force. Orissa not only lost her empire but also her political prestige. A century of Vaishnavaism reduced this great people to a state of caste-ridden stagnation in which even famine-stricken people are obliged to form themselves into a separate caste (Satra-khivâ). Chaitanya is said to have converted Pratâparudra before the beginning of the Vijavanagara war. Among the officers of the king Ramananda Råi, governor of Råjamahendri before its loss, and Gopinatha Barajena, governor of Maljyatha dandapata, or Midnapur, were converted to Neo-Vaishnavaism.

We do not know what happened to this religiously minded and cowardly king after the retirement of Krishnadevarâya. Vijayanagara panegyrists credit him with another invasion of the Southern Hindu Empire after the death of Krishnadevarâya. The statement is hardly credible, because Pratâparudra is said to have retired hastily from this campaign, after reading some verses in Telugu composed by Krishnadevarâyâ's favourite Telugu poet Allasâni Peddana. 59

On another side another enemy of the kingdom of Orissa was rising swiftly. Sultan Qulî Qutb Shah Hamadânî, the Viceroy of the Eastern Provinces of the Bahmanî Empire, was the most faithful among the faithless Tarafdârs of the Bahmanî Empire. He had deferred the declaration of his independence till 1512; but after that date he had begun to consolidate his power in the ancient province of Telingânâ. When Isma'îl 'Âdil I and Krishnadevarâya were fighting in the south the veteran Sultân Qulî Qutb found it a fitting opportunity to despoil the religious monarch of Orissa. He entered into the Godâvarî-Krishnâ Doâb, left the Vijayanagara districts on the coast-land severely alone and descended upon the southern districts of Orissa. This was the beginning of the advance of the Qutb-Shâhîs northwards

⁵⁹ Sources of Vijayanagara History, p. 153.

⁶⁰ This is the only possible time when Sultân Quli's invasion of Telingânâ may be regarded as possible. Briggs thought that the invasion of Orissa took place in 1511 (see "Comparative Chronology of Deccan kingdoms principally during the Sixteenth Century" at the end of vol. III, Cambray's edition of 1910). He actually puts the capture of Ballamkonda and Kondapalle against this date. But in the text he says "After having repaired the foot of Golkonda, Sooltan Koolly Kootb Shah turned his thoughts towards the reduction of the fortress of Raykonda" (Brigg's Rise of the Mahomedan Powers, vol. III, p. 354.) This happened after his declaration of independence (1512). The war with the Gajapati, i.e., Pratâparudra, took place long afterwards, after the supposed victories of the Musalmans of Golkonda over Krishpadevarâya. Mr. Monmohan Chakravarti is quite correct in placing the war in 1522.

⁶¹ The long rambling narrative of Firishta bears on its face the stamp of untruth and confusion. In the first place there was no king in Orissa named Râmachandra Gajapati between 1512 and 1543 or the entire reign of Sulțân Quil Qutb Shâh. In the next place Krishnadevarâya ascended the throne in 1509-10. The campaign against Orissa began early in 1512, as Udayagırı fell in 1513, Kondavidu in 1515 and Kondapalle in 1517. Firishta makes Sultân Qulî capture Ballamkonda, Kondvidu and Kondapalle. The series of records of Krishnadevarâya at Kondavîdu, Kaza, Mangalagırı and finally at Simhâchalam-Potnuru prove Firiahta's narrative of this war to be totally false and baseless. If Sultân Qulî Qutb Shâh had really fought against Krishnadevarâya in his campaigns of 1512-19, then it must have been as the ally of Pratâparudra, and he must have shared the defeat of his ally. There is no mention of the capture of Kondavîdu by Musalmans in the Indian Chronicles (Sewell's Sketch of the Dynastics, p. 48). I have mentioned Kondapalle because Sewell mentions an inscription of Sultân Qulî at that place (Ibid., p. 28). Most probably this confused account is due to Firishta's mistake of taking Râmachandra Gajapati of Khurda as a contemporary of Krishnadevarâya.

≀

which ended with the capture of Ganjam in 1571 and Chicacole in 1641.63 Thus the Oriyâ speaking districts of Ganjam, Vizagapatam and the three Khimidis came to be included in the Qutb Shâhî kingdom of Golkonda and passed on to the Mughal sâba of Golkonda or Khujistâ:bunyâd Haidarâbâd in 1687. The ill-fated Nizâm of the Deccan granted them to the great French General Bussy. In 1765 the hapless Shâh 'Âlam II was persuaded by Clive to include the transfer of the Northern Sarkârs from the French to the British East India Company, though they were actually in the possession of the latter from 1761. On account of this freak of fortune these Oriyâ-speaking districts still form a part of the British Presidency of Madras.

Prataparudra survived the death of his royal son-in-law by nearly ten years. No other incident of his reign is known to us. But before taking leave of his reign we should examine certain references to it in the histories of other provinces. The Râyavâchakamu mentions another Musalman general employed by Prataparudra in his campaigns against Krishnadevarâya. This chief is mentioned as Chitâprâ or Chitâph Khân by Prof. S. K. Aiyangar of the Madras University, who has sought to prove him a Hindu in spite of Haig's recognition of the man as a Musalman 63 Chitaph Khan seems to be the Telugu equivalent of Shitab Khan. He is apparently mentioned as a free-lance, as he restored Varangal to the Hindus. 64 There remains only one other incident of this reign. Narasa Nâyaka is said to have defeated the king of Orissa in certain Vijayanagara inscriptions. We have seen before that Purushottama could not have come into contact with Saluva Narasimha or Narasa Nayaka before the reconquest of Kondavîdu by him.65 After 1488-89 it was possible for Narasa to have met either Purushottama or Prataparudra in the southern part of Telingana. The Unmanjeri plates of Achyûtarâva of the Saka year 1462=1540 a D 66 and the British Museum plates of Sadâsivarâya of the Saka year 1478=1550 A D. 67 mention the war between Narasa and the Gajapati king in such a manner as to indicate that the victory obtained was practically of no importance. It is absolutely certain that up to the last day of his life Narasa was very busy in consolidating his own position, as Immâdi Narasimha was alive The statement of Nunez makes it probable that by the time of his death in 1502 Narasa had recovered the northern Tamil and the southern Telugu districts as far as Udayagiri in the Nellore district, because his dying injunction to his son was to recover Udayagiri at all costs. It is, therefore, also probable that after Purushottama's death, and before the capture of Udayagiri in 1513, Prataparudra had lost the territories lying to the south of Udayagiri.

The date of the death of Pratâparudra is not certain. We only know that the usurper, Govinda Vidyâdhara, was on the throne in 1543, and that was his fourth anka. The Sûryavamiî dynasty had, therefore, come to an end before 1541. The Mâdalâ Pânji states that two sons of Pratâparudra, named Kâluâ and Kakhâruâ, had succeeded their father and ruled for a few months. But it is very dangerous to rely on the Mâdalâ Pânji without independent corroboration. Inscription No. 5 on the right side of the Jagamohana of the temple of Jagannâtha at Puri proves that Govinda Vidyâdhara was on the throne in 1541-42. With him began the decline of the political power of Orissa and the very existence of that country as an independent state was over within 27 years. From this date began the creation of feudatory states and old zamîndârîs of Orissa (Garhjât and Qila'-jât), many of which exist even now and claim to be descended from dynasties older than the Sûryavamisî dynasty, Râjpût or otherwise

⁶² Sarkar, History of Aurangzib, vol. I, p. 215.

⁶³ T. W. Haig, Historic Landmarks of the Deccan, pp. 85-6

⁶⁴ Sources of Vijayanagara History, p. 155.

⁶⁶ Epi. Ind., vol. III, p. 152.

⁶⁵ See ante, p. 30

⁶⁷ Ibid., vol. IV, p. 12,